

Workplace exposure standards and biological exposure indices

November 2020

EDITION 12-1

CONTENTS

Preface	2
Obligations and rights under the Health and Safety at Work Act 2015 (HSWA) and Health and Safety at Work (General Risk And Workplace Management) Regulations 2016	9

Part One: Workplace exposure standards for airborne contaminants

1.0	Explanation of workplace exposure standards (WES)	12
1.1	Introduction	13
1.2	Application of WES	15
1.3	Adjustment of WES for extended workshifts	20
1.4	Units of measurement	21
1.5	Mixed exposures	21
1.6	Aerosols	22
1.7	Carcinogens	24
1.8	Skin absorption	25
1.9	Work load	25
1.10	Sensitisers	26
1.11	Simple asphyxiants	26
1.12	Ototoxins	26
1.13	Carbon monoxide (CO)	27
<hr/>		
2.0	WES values	28
2.1	Table of WES values	29

Part Two: Biological exposure indices

3.0	Biological exposure indices (BEI)	75
3.1	Introduction	76
3.2	Exposure periods	76
3.3	Effectiveness	76
3.4	Biological assays	77
3.5	Legal requirements	77
3.6	Issues with biological monitoring	78
3.7	Information prior to monitoring	78
3.8	Sample collection	78
3.9	Interpretation of results	79
<hr/>		
4.0	BEI values	80
4.1	Table of BEI values	81

appendices

Appendix 1: Glossary	86
----------------------	----

tables

1	Collection efficiency curve for inhalable dust	23
2	Collection efficiency curve for respirable dust	24
3	Lung ventilation rates impacted by work load	25
4	Exposure periods for varying concentrations of carbon monoxide	27
5	Workplace exposure standards	31
6	Table of biological exposure indices	81

Preface

The twelfth edition of the Workplace Exposure Standards and Biological Exposure Indices has been developed by Worksafe New Zealand (WorkSafe). Input has also been sought from a wide range of interested parties.

This edition supersedes all previous editions and versions.

Worksafe will continue to review and revise this document to take into account any significant new toxicological or occupational hygiene information.

Changes in this edition

PAGE	TOPIC	CHANGES	RATIONALE
31	Table 5 Acetaldehyde	Introduction of Ceiling Limit of 20ppm. Removal of WES-TWA and WES-STEL	The WES-Ceiling protective of symptoms of irritation, occurring at concentrations as low as 25ppm. Removal of WES-TWA and WES-STEL due to lack of epidemiological data.
31	Table 5 Acrylamide	Introduction of (dser) notation	
31	Table 5 Acrylonitrile	Introduction of (dser) notation	
31	Table 5 Allyl glycidyl ether (AGE)	Change of WES-TWA to 0.25ppm Change of WES-STEL to 0.5ppm Introduction of (dser) notation	The WES-TWA is set to adequately protect against respiratory tract irritation and any possible (carcinogenic) sequelae. The WES-STEL is set to protect against respiratory tract irritation/corrosion as it is acutely, acute, and potent for genotoxic activity, so peak concentrations should be limited to a safe level to prevent eye irritation and contact sensitisation.
32	Table 5 Aniline and homologues	Rename WES-TWA Introduction of WES-STEL 2ppm Introduction of (dser) notation	The WES-TWA is unchanged as it is set at a point at which induction of methaemoglobin in exposed workers is expected not to be toxicologically significant, with some margin for potential dermal exposure. A WES-STEL is set to minimise methaemoglobin formation, as peak as well as cumulative exposures are significant for worker safety.
33	Table 5 Arsenic and substituted compounds, as As	Change of WES-TWA to 0.001mg/m ³	The WES-TWA for arsenic and substituted arsenic compounds of 0.001mg/m ³ is set to be protective against a non-carcinogenic endpoints, and represents an estimated increase in the incidence of lung cancer deaths of 1.4 per 10,000 over a 40-year working life; and slightly lower than the NOAEL of 0.00128mg/m ³ and 100x below the LOAEL of 0.1mg/m ³ .
34	Table 5 Azinphos-methyl	Introduction of (dser) notation	

PAGE	TOPIC	CHANGES	RATIONALE
34	Table 5 Benzene	Change of WES-TWA to 0.05ppm Removal of WES-STEL	The WES-TWA is set to be protective against chromosomal damage (aneugenicity and clastogenicity) in workers, and other adverse health effects. The recommended OEL is an extrapolated NOAEC derived from a LOAEC of 1ppm for clastogenic and aneugenic effects in peripheral blood lymphocytes and sperms, and effects in rodent bone marrow cells. It is noted that fetal mouse exposures to 0.06ppm benzene corresponds with a 410,000 risk for leukemias, based on a near cancer risk extrapolation from a leukemias ED10. The removal of the WES-STEL is because it causes effects in the central nervous system at high concentrations of 300-3,000ppm. Considering a WES-TWA of 0.05ppm, it is not expected that a concentration of 300ppm will be reached under normal workplace conditions, and excursions must be sufficient for the protection against acute effects.
34	Table 5 Benzoyl peroxide	Introduction of (dser) notation	
36	Table 5 Butylated hydroxytoluene (2,6-D-tert-butyl-p-cresol)	Introduction of (dser) notation	
35	Table 5 n-Butyl acrylate	Introduction of (dser) notation	
36	Table 5 n-Butyl glycidyl ether (BGE)	Introduction of (dser) notation	
36	Table 5 Cadmium and compounds, as Cd	Change of WES-TWA to 0.004mg/m ³ (T) Removal of WES-TWA 0.01mg/m ³ (C) Introduction of (b) notation	The WES-TWA for respiratory fraction and removal of the WES-TWA for inhalable fraction of cadmium is intended to protect exposed workers from systemic effects with the most sensitive endpoint being nephrotoxicity, on the premise that contributions to body burden are likely to be greater from respiratory than for the inhalable fraction.
36	Table 5 Calcium chromate, as Cr	Removal of entry	See Chromium (V) compounds, as Cr
36	Table 5 Calcium cyanamide	Introduction of (dser) notation	
36	Table 5 Camphor, synthetic	Introduction of (dser) notation	
36	Table 5 Caproactair (dust vapour)	Introduction of (dser) notation	
37	Table 5 Captan	Introduction of (dser) notation	
38	Table 5 1-Chloro-2,3-epoxypropane (Epoxychlorohydrin)	Introduction of (dser) notation	
38	Table 5 Chloroethylene (Vinyl chloride)	Introduction of (dser) notation	

PAGE	TOPIC	CHANGES	RATIONALE
38	Table 5 Chloroform (N-tetrachloroethane)	Introduction of (d) and (r) notation	
39	Table 5 Chromium meta	Introduction of (r) notation	
39	Table 5 Chromium (V) compounds, as Cr	Change of WES-TWA to 0.00002mg/m ³ Introduction of WES-STEL 0.0005mg/m ³ Introduction of (d) notation Introduction of (r) notation Introduction of (sk) notation for a water-soluble ($\geq 500\text{g/L}$; Cr(V) compounds Removal of individual WES for Cr(V) compounds (Ca, Pb, Sr and Zr)	The WES-TWA corresponds to 1 extra lung cancer case per 10,000 exposed workers. The WES-STEL is set to minimize transient peak exposures that could trigger asthmatic responses. Introduction of (d) and (r) notations based on association with contact and rare occupational asthma. Introduction of (sk) notation based on the systemic absorption of chromium following dermal exposures to water-soluble Cr(V) compounds Removal of individual WES for Cr(V) compounds based on the ACGIH [®] , SCOEL, DECOS and NIOSH recommendations that concluded that a hexavalent chromium compound should be considered as carcinogenic, that underlying processes include a stochastic genotoxic mechanism [that is, no threshold], and that in the health-based cancer risk calculation no distinction should be made between soluble and poorly soluble hexavalent chromium compounds
39	Table 5 Chromium chloride	Introduction of (d) notation	
39	Table 5 Cobalt carbonyl, as Co	Introduction of (d) notation	
40	Table 5 Copper and its organic compounds, as Cu	Change of WES-TWA to 0.01mg/m ³ , as Cu (r) for copper and its organic compounds Introduction of (d) notation	The WES-TWA is based on the NOAEC of 0.008mg/m ³ reported in workers and the calculated NOAEL H-EC of 0.012mg/m ³ . The WES-TWA is for the respiratory tract or as the critical effect location on the respiratory tract including immunosuppression attributable to disturbance of a vesicular macrophage function.
40	Table 5 Cyanamide	Introduction of (d) notation	
40	Table 5 Cyanides, as CN	Introduction of (d) notation	
40	Table 5 Cyclohexamine	Introduction of (d) notation	
41	Table 5 2,4-D	Introduction of (d) notation	
42	Table 5 Dichloropropene	Introduction of (d) notation	
42	Table 5 Dichlorvos	Introduction of (d) notation	
43	Table 5 Diethylene triamine	Introduction of (d) notation	
43	Table 5 Diethylene triamine	Introduction of (d) and (r) notation	
43	Table 5 Diethyl sulphate	Remove WES-TWA 0.01ppm Propose to review WES again in the future	The proposed WES-TWA of 0.01ppm was set to protect against non-carcinogenic endpoints. However, diethyl sulphate is a H-SNO 6.7A substance – a substance that is known or a presumed human carcinogen. Therefore, a further review for a WES to reduce the risk of cancer is recommended.

PAGE	TOPIC	CHANGES	RATIONALE
43	Table 5 D Hydroxybenzene (Hydroquinone)	Introduction of (dser) notation	
44	Table 5 D Methyamine	Introduction of (dser) notation	
43	Table 5 D Methylsulphate	Introduction of (dser) notation	
44	Table 5 D Nitro-creso	Removal of entry	There is no registration of this substance with EPA or reported use in New Zealand.
45	Table 5 D Oxane	Change of WES-TWA to 5ppm	The WES-TWA of 5ppm [$18\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$] is set to prevent increased nuclear argument in the respiratory and occupational environment of nasa tumours as well.
45	Table 5 D Quat dibromide	Introduction of (dser) notation	
45	Table 5 D Sulfiram	Introduction of (dser) notation	
46	Table 5 D Ethylchloride	Introduction of (dser) notation	
47	Table 5 D Ethylene dichloride (1,2-Dichloroethane)	Introduction of (dser) notation	
47	Table 5 D Ethylene oxide	Introduction of (dser) and (rser) notation	
48	Table 5 D Fenthion	Removal of entry	
48	Table 5 D Flour dust	Change of WES-TWA of $1\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ to (rser) status	
48	Table 5 D Formaldehyde	<p>Interim WES-TWA 0.3ppm. Proposed to change WES-TWA to 0.1ppm in Nov 2022.</p> <p>Interim WES-STEL 0.6ppm. Proposed to change WES-STEL to 0.3ppm in Nov 2022.</p> <p>Introduction of (dser) notation</p> <p>Removal of WES-TWA (12h)</p> <p>Removal of WES-Ce</p>	<p>The WES-TWA of 0.1ppm for formaldehyde is set to be protective against a non-carcinogenic and non-genotoxic endpoints, based on LOAECs/LOAECs for sensory irritation in humans as the most sensitive marker for toxicity.</p> <p>The WES-STEL of 0.3ppm for formaldehyde is set to be protective against acute eye or respiratory irritation.</p> <p>The interim WES-TWA of 0.3ppm and interim WES-STEL of 0.6ppm are introduced to give the industry time to implement changes and to engage with WorkSafe.</p> <p>A dser notation is introduced as formaldehyde is a dermal sensitizer.</p> <p>Removal of the WES-TWA (12h) so different modes of adjustments for extended workshifts can be used.</p> <p>Removal of WES-Ce along as the WES-STEL and excursion limits are sufficient for the protection against acute eye or respiratory irritation.</p>
49	Table 5 D Isoethane	Introduction of (rser) notation	

PAGE	TOPIC	CHANGES	RATIONALE
50	Table 5 Hydrozine	Introduction of (dser) notation	
51	Table 5 Hydroquinone	Change of WES-TWA to $1\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ Introduction of (skn) notation Introduction of (dser) notation	The WES-TWA of $1\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ is set to protect against eye irritation. A skin notation is justified, based on calculated potential exposure contribution, reported systemic toxicity after dermal exposure and potential for a mucous vapour phase. Availability of human data on sensitization from exposure warrant the addition of the (dser) notation.
52	Table 5 Lead chromate, as Cr	Removal of entry	See Chromium (V) compounds, as Cr
53	Table 5 Mafon	Introduction of (dser) notation	
54	Table 5 4-Methoxypheno	Introduction of (dser) notation	
54	Table 5 Mercury vapour (as H-g)	Introduction of (dser) notation	
55	Table 5 Methyl acrylate	Introduction of (dser) notation	
56	Table 5 Methyl acrylonitrile	Introduction of (dser) notation	
57	Table 5 4,4-Methylenedianiline	Introduction of (dser) notation	
57	Table 5 Mineral wool fibres	Change of WES-TWA to $2\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ for non-carcinogenic SMFs Change of WES-TWA to $0.3\text{f}/\text{m}^3$ for carcinogenic SMFs	There was a need to set WES for SMF based on its carcinogenicity. A WES-TWA of $2\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ is set for non-carcinogenic SMFs to be protective against upper respiratory tract irritation. A WES-TWA of $0.3\text{f}/\text{m}^3$ for carcinogenic SMFs is considered a NOAEL based on the average cumulative exposures, after 45 years, of 147.9 and $184.8\text{f}\text{-mo}/\text{m}^3$, respectively, resulting in an average fibre concentrations of 0.27 and $0.34\text{f}/\text{m}^3$.
60	Table 5 Pheno	Change of WES-TWA to 1ppm Introduction of WES-STEL 2ppm	The WES-TWA of 1ppm includes a safety factor for a non-carcinogenic endpoint, based on the point that 5% of workers exposed up to 5ppm indicated potential kidney damage and the 5ppm NOAEL from experimental animals. The WES-STEL of 2ppm is set to be protective against peak concentrations triggering acute upper respiratory tract irritation.
60	Table 5 <i>m</i> -Phenylenediamine	Introduction of (dser) notation	
60	Table 5 <i>o</i> -Phenylenediamine	Introduction of (dser) notation	
60	Table 5 <i>p</i> -Phenylenediamine	Introduction of (dser) notation	
60	Table 5 Phenyglycidyl ether (PGE)	Introduction of (dser) notation	

PAGE	TOPIC	CHANGES	RATIONALE
60	Table 5 Phenyhydrazine	Introduction of (dser) notation	
61	Table 5 Picric acid (2,4,6-Trinitrophenol)	Introduction of (dser) notation	
62	Table 5 Propargyl alcohol	Introduction of (dser) notation	
65	Table 5 Sodium sulphite	Introduction of (dser) and (rser) notation	
65	Table 5 Sodium disulphite	Introduction of (dser) and (rser) notation	
65	Table 5 Strontium chromate, as Cr	Removal of entry	See Chromium (V) compounds, as Cr
65	Table 5 Substrains (Proteolytic enzymes, as 100% pure crystalline enzyme)	Introduction of (rser) notation	
66	Table 5 Sulphur dioxide	Introduction of (rser) notation	
66	Table 5 Synthetic mineral fibres	Change of WES-TWA to $2\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ for non-carcinogenic SMFs Change of WES-TWA to $0.3\text{f}/\text{m}^3$ for carcinogenic SMFs	There was a need to set WES for SMF based on its carcinogenicity A WES-TWA of $2\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ is set for non-carcinogenic SMFs to be protective against upper respiratory tract irritation. A WES-TWA of $0.3\text{f}/\text{m}^3$ for carcinogenic SMFs is considered a NOAEL based on the average cumulative exposures, after 45 years, of 1479 and $1848\text{f}\cdot\text{mo}/\text{m}^3$, respectively, resulting in an average fibre concentrations of 0.27 and $0.34\text{f}/\text{m}^3$.
67	Table 5 Thiram	Introduction of (dser) notation	
68	Table 5 <i>p</i> -Toluidine	Introduction of (dser) notation	
70	Table 5 Turpentine (wood $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{16}$)	Introduction of (dser) notation	
70	Table 4 Vanadium, as V, and its inorganic compounds, except Chromium pigment yellow 184	Enter WES-TWA $0.05\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$, as V () for V and its inorganic compounds, except Chromium pigment yellow 184 Propose to review WES against the future.	The WES-TWA is set to be protective against a non-carcinogenic and non-genotoxic endpoints, based on a NOAEL of 0.01 to $0.04\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ from exposed workers, and the expectation that a non-carcinogenic compounds can convert to active vanadium ions in biological matrices. There is evidence that vanadium pentoxide was carcinogenic in test species, mutagenic and exhibited reproductive toxicity. Therefore, a further review for a WES to reduce the risk of cancer is recommended.

PAGE	TOPIC	CHANGES	RATIONALE
70	Table 5.1 Vinyl acetate	<p>Change of WES-TWA 5ppm</p> <p>Change of WES-STEL 10ppm</p> <p>Propose to review WES again in the future</p>	<p>The WES-TWA is set to be protective against a non-carcinogenic endpoints and be comparable to other WES-TWA 5ppm</p> <p>The WES-STEL is set to be protective against respiratory or ocular irritation in most workers based on limited observations in humans of a NOAEL for irritation at 10ppm.</p> <p>There is evidence that vinyl acetate was carcinogenic and mutagenic in test species. Therefore, a further review for a WES to reduce the risk of cancer is recommended.</p>
71	Table 5.1 Vinyl cyanide (Acrylonitrile)	Introduction of (design) notation	
73	Table 5.2 Chromates, as Cr	Removal of entry	See Chromium (V) compounds, as Cr
73	Table 5.2 Zinc oxide	<p>Change of WES-TWA to 0.1mg/m³ (r)</p> <p>Introduction of WES-STEL 0.5mg/m³ (r)</p> <p>Change of WES-TWA to 2mg/m³ (c)</p> <p>Change of WES-STEL to 5mg/m³ (c)</p>	<p>A WES-TWA of 0.1mg/m³ (r) is set to be protective against systemic inflammatory parameters, extrapolated from a NOAEC of 0.4mg/m³ after 2 hours exposure by volunteers.</p> <p>A WES-STEL of 0.5mg/m³ (r) is set to be protective against peak concentrations triggering acute irritation responses.</p> <p>A WES-TWA of 2mg/m³ (c) is set to be protective against compromised lung function or asthma symptoms, based on exposures of 2.5–4.6mg/m³ from a study in smelter workers.</p> <p>A WES-STEL of 5mg/m³ (c) is set to be protective against peak concentrations triggering acute asthma symptoms.</p>
81	Table 5.2 Table of BE values - Arsenic	Change to 15µg As/L sum of inorganic As compounds and its metabolites (MMA and DMA) in urine	Based on regressions from a study by Apostol et al. (1999), an airborne concentration of 0.001mg/m ³ corresponds with 15µg/L as the sum of As ₃ , AsV, MMA and DMA in urine.
81	Table 5.2 Table of BE values - Benzene	Change to 2µg/g creatinine in urine	The BE corresponds to the WES-TWA of 0.05ppm.
81	Table 5.2 Table of BE values - Cadmium	Change to 2µg Cd/g creatinine in urine Removal of cadmium in blood BE	The BE is a LOAEL for renal effects and corresponds to the WES-TWA of 0.004mg/m ³ (r).
83	Table 5.2 Table of BE values - Phenol	Change to 100mg/L total phenol in urine	The BE corresponds to the WES-TWA of 1ppm.

Obligations and rights under the Health and Safety at Work Act 2015 (HSWA) and Health and Safety at Work (General Risk and Workplace Management) Regulations 2016

What are the obligations of a person conducting a business or undertaking (PCBU)?

PCBUs must ensure the health and safety of workers doing work for the PCBU and to ensure the health and safety of others whose work is influenced or directed by the PCBU.

PCBUs must also ensure that the health and safety of other persons is not put at risk from the work carried out as a part of the PCBU's business or undertaking.

To achieve this, PCBUs must (so far as is reasonably practicable):

- identify hazards that might give rise to risks to health and safety
- eliminate risks to health and safety
- minimise risks that are not reasonably practicable to eliminate
- provide and maintain a work environment that is without risks to health and safety
- provide and maintain safe plant and structures
- provide and maintain safe systems of work
- ensure the safe use, handling and storage of substances
- provide adequate and accessible facilities for the welfare of workers doing work for the PCBU
- provide the information, training, instructions or supervision necessary to protect all persons from risks arising from work carried out as a part of the conduct of the business or undertaking
- ensure that the health of workers at the workplace is monitored
- ensure that the conditions at the workplace are monitored
- provide adequate and accessible first aid facilities for workers
- provide suitable personal protective equipment and clothing for workers and other persons and ensure that it is used
- engage with workers so workers have a reasonable opportunity to raise health and safety issues and to contribute to the decision-making process.

Do workers and others have obligations and rights?

Yes. Workers and other persons at a workplace are required to take reasonable care to ensure their health and safety and the health and safety of others who are there. This includes considering both the things they do and the things they omit to do (such as not using safety equipment or appropriate exposure controls). They are also required to comply with any reasonable health and safety instruction given by the PCBU.

Workers are also required to co-operate with any reasonable health or safety policy or procedure of the PCBU.

Although it is the PCBU's overall responsibility to ensure a safe working environment, workers do have a responsibility to use the exposure controls and safety equipment provided, and to wear protective clothing as appropriate.

Workers and others should also report to the PCBU any risks or incidents they become aware of so the PCBU can investigate and put safeguards in place.

Workers are entitled to receive, free of charge, protective clothing and equipment if this is necessary to protect them from health and safety risks in the workplace.

Workers are entitled to:

- receive information, supervision, training, and instruction appropriate to the work they are doing, the plant they are using, and the substances they are handling so they can do their job in a safe and healthy manner
- wear their own suitable personal protective clothing and equipment, but the PCBU must ensure that any such clothing and equipment is suitable
- have access to the results of exposure monitoring at the workplace where they may be, or may have been exposed to the health hazard, provided that the exposure monitoring results do not contain any information that identifies or discloses anything about an individual worker
- be provided with a copy of any health monitoring report relating to health monitoring of the worker
- receive reasonable opportunities to participate in workplace health and safety

For further information on health and safety rights and responsibilities in the workplace visit: [worksafe.govt.nz](https://www.worksafe.govt.nz)

Part One

WORKPLACE EXPOSURE STANDARDS FOR AIRBORNE CONTAMINANTS

1.0 Explanation of workplace exposure standards (WES)

IN THIS SECTION:

- 1.1 Introduction
- 1.2 Application of WES
- 1.3 Adjustment of WES for extended workshifts
- 1.4 Units of measurement
- 1.5 Mixed exposures
- 1.6 Aerosols
- 1.7 Carcinogens
- 1.8 Skin absorption
- 1.9 Work load
- 1.10 Sensitisers
- 1.11 Simple asphyxiants
- 1.12 Ototoxins
- 1.13 Carbon monoxide (CO)

1.1 Introduction

Target audience

The Workplace Exposure Standards (WES) are intended to be used as guidelines for health risk management.

PCBUs and people with duties under HSWA and the HSN0 Act may use this book as a reference; but it is recommended that specialist advice is sought prior to engaging in monitoring programmes or exposure control.

It is not recommended that untrained persons use WES to determine 'compliance'. Professional judgement is required in making decisions regarding safe levels of exposure to chemical and physical agents found in the workplace.

Legal requirements

WES are an important tool for monitoring the workplace environment. Where hazardous or toxic substances exist in the same environment as workers, and the PCBU is unable to successfully eliminate these substances from working environments, they are required to minimise and monitor worker exposure. The PCBU must also, so far as is reasonably practicable, ensure that the health of workers and the conditions at the workplace are monitored for the purpose of preventing injury or illness of workers arising from the conduct of the business or undertaking.

Section 36 of HSWA requires PCBUs to ensure worker health and safety 'so far as is reasonably practicable'. That duty requires the PCBU to eliminate risks to health and safety, so far as is reasonably practicable. If it is not reasonably practicable to do so, the PCBU must minimise the risks so far as is reasonably practicable. If a PCBU is uncertain on reasonable grounds whether the concentration of a substance exceeds the relevant prescribed exposure standard, regulation 30 of GRWM Regulations requires the PCBU to conduct exposure monitoring to determine the concentration of the substance. Regulation 32 of the GRWM Regulations requires the PCBU to make the results of exposure monitoring available to any person in the workplace who may have been exposed to the health hazard provided that no information that identifies an individual worker is disclosed. A prescribed exposure standard is a workplace exposure standard or a biological exposure index that has the purpose of protecting persons in a workplace from harm to health and that is prescribed in:

- a. Regulations
- b. A safe work instrument.

Regulation 8 of the GRWM Regulations requires the PCBU to review and, as necessary, revise control measures if the results of exposure monitoring carried out under regulation 30 determine that the concentration of a substance hazardous to health at the workplace exceeds a relevant prescribed exposure standard.

In workplaces where a worker is carrying out ongoing work involving a substance that is hazardous to health that is specified in a safe work instrument as requiring health monitoring, regulation 31 of the GRWM Regulations requires the PCBU to ensure that health monitoring is provided to the worker if there is a serious risk to the workers' health because of exposure to the substance. Regulation 39 requires the PCBU to give results of health monitoring of a worker to that worker.

Limitations

Defining an exposure level that will achieve freedom from adverse health effects is the major consideration for assigning these WES. However, compliance with the designated WES level does not guarantee that all workers are protected from discomfort or ill-health. The range of individual susceptibility to hazardous and toxic substances is wide, and it is possible that some workers will experience discomfort or develop occupational illness from exposure to substances at levels below the WES.

WES must not be used to differentiate between safe and inherently hazardous exposure levels. In addition, the numerical value of two or more WES must not be used to directly compare the relative toxicity of different substances as the biological potency and toxicologic effects used to derive a WES are specific to each substance.

When interpreting the risk posed by individual substances, the documentation that supports the WES should be consulted.

When applying these WES values it is important to understand the end-point health effects for which it is designed to protect for, and the limitations of the WES or data used to derive the value. It is good practice to consider WES values from other organisations that could be more appropriate to apply for the purposes of managing health risk. Relevant sources of other exposure standards include the GESTIS substance database, the ACGIH[®], SCOEL, ECHA, DFG, DECOS, and Safe Work Australia.

Substances without a WES

In many cases well-documented data exist to help determine WES. But for some substances, the available toxicological and industrial hygiene information is insufficient to enable highly reliable standard-setting. As such some substances do not have WES. If a substance doesn't have a WES, this should not be taken to mean that it is safe under all conditions, and that no restriction should be placed on its use. Regardless of the substance, it is important to eliminate or minimise the concentration of airborne substances as far as is reasonably practicable.

Substances without a WES-STEL

To provide an upper limit on short-term exposures, an excursion limit (EL) may be applied for substances that have a WES-TWA, but no WES-STEL or WES-Ceiling. Before applying an EL, further information should be obtained to help inform whether or not doing so is an appropriate approach, rather than assuming it to be appropriate for all substances. Such information may include acute toxicological data or the existence of short-term exposure limits from other jurisdictions.

Routes of entry

Hazardous or toxic substances may enter the body following inhalation, ingestion or skin absorption. But in occupational settings, it is most often the inhalation aspect that is most important, in terms of exposure however this is substance dependent.

Substances listed with a skin notation (skin) are known to have potential for significant skin absorption particularly from liquid, but potentially also from vapour. This should not be ignored, because in these cases the total dose received through all absorption routes can be significantly higher than just that from inhalation (such as might be estimated from the airborne level). This is further discussed in the section on skin absorption (Section 1.8).